## Section on a specific subject from:

## DATABOOK OF HAPPINESS

A complementary reference work to Conditions of Happiness

Ruut Veenhoven with the assistance of Ton Jonkers *Erasmus University Rotterdam* 

D.Reidel Publishing Company
Member of Kluwer Academic Publishers Group
1984
Dordrecht/Bostion/Lancaster

ISBN 90-277-1793-1

## M 2 MARRIAGE

M 2.1 Being married . . . . . see M 1.1

M 2.2 Attitude towards marriage . . . . see also S 1.7.2

M 2.3 Characteristics of the spouse

M 2.4 Characteristics of the relationship . . . see also S 1.7.2

M 2.5 Various factors concerning marriage

M 2.1 - BEING MARRIED	see 'Marit	tal Status - Married' (M 1.1)				ļ	·			
M 2.2 - ATTITUDES TOWARD	S MARRIAGE see also '	Satisfaction with Marriage' (S 1.7.2)								
POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS MARRIAGE	Closed question: 'In general, which do you think is happier - married people or single people?' single / no difference / married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.32	Gt'	01	National population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 191	
THINKING OFTEN ABOUT MARRIAGE	Closed question: not at all / sometimes / often, during last week	Gammas computed on the basis of proportion 'often' answers  Unaffected by S.E.S. high S.E.S.: G' =07 (ns) low S.E.S.: G' =03 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G†	_	Gt'		Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 54	-360-
EXPECTED SATISFACTION IF MARRIED	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale	Computed for singles only males : r = +.06 (ns) females: r =08 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r pm		Chi <sup>2</sup>		Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September — December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 193	
M 2.3 - CHARACTERISTICS	OF THE SPOUSE									
BEING A WIFE OF A SKILLED WORKER	Being a wife of an unskilled / a semi-skilled / a skilled worker	Computed for presently married females only	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.26	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 223	
EMLOYED STATUS OF SPOUSE	Ss with unemployed vs employed spouse	Computed for married respondents only  Lower among males : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.04 (ns)  Stronger among females : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.10 (05)  Index of Positive Affects : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.06 (05)  Stronger among females : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.04 (ns)  Lower among males : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.06 (05)  Unaffected by sex: males : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.06 (05)  Unaffected by sex: males : D\(\overline{R}\) = +.02 (ns)  For further elaborations see 'Having Work' (W 2.1,	AFF 2.3	DR	+.01	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 — January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 187	

ن
Ò
ī

WIFE OF UNEMPLOYED CHIEF WAGE EARNER	Mife of employed vs unemployed chief wage earner	This analysis concerns only women who are not chief wage earners.	AFF 2.3	DR	10	BCI	05	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A.	BRADB 69
		Index of Positive Affects: DR =19 (05) Index of Negative Affects: DR =02 (ns)						(See last page)	p. 184/186
		·	HAPP 1.1	G	32				
SOCIAL STRATUM OF HUSBAND	Lower vs upper strata	Computed for married females only Gammas based on proportion 'very satisfied' answers	HAPP 2.1	6'	-	Gt'	ns	Inhabitants of Helsinki, Finland Probability sample N: 442, date: spring – summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 595
		Stronger among employed females: G' =31 (ns) Lower among unemployed females: G' =20 (ns)							
INCOME .	Husband's income rated on a 3-point scale	·	HAPP 1.1	r pm	+.21			Housewives, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 450, date: autumn, 1964	PHILI 66 p. 66
BEING YOUNGER THAN SPOUSE	Same age or older vs younger	35% of the relatively dissatisfied, 27% of the satisfied and 17% of the very satisfied were the same age as their husband or older (sign.). No relationship among men	HAPP 2.1	D%	+		s	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 16
LIFE SATISFACTION OF SPOUSE	Closed question rated on a 5-point scale (see instrument in excerpt, Part II).	65% of the men, whose wives said they were very satisfied with their lives, also said they were satisfied, whereas this was only true for 15% of the men whose wives were relatively dissatisfied.	HAPP 2.1	D%	+		s	See above	ROSE 55 p. 16
M 2.4 - CHARACTERISTIC	Closed question of frequency of feeling not as	ee also 'Satisfaction with Marriage' (S 1.7.2). Stronger among males: t <sub>k</sub> =15 (01)	HAPP 1.1	t <sub>k</sub>	-			Adult married population with children, U.S.A.	VEROF 62
	good a husband/wife as one would like to be never / once in a while / a lot of times	Stronger among males: $t_k =15$ (01) Not among females: $t_k^k = +.01$ (ns)	100, 111	k	_		,	Probability area sample N: 797, date: —	p. 196
PROBLEMS IN MARRIAGE (in the past)	Open—ended question on problems getting along with each other S never had problems vs mentions problems	Stronger among females: $t_k =24$ (01) Lower among males: $t_k =14$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	t <sub>k</sub>	<u>-</u>		01	See above	VEROF 62 p. 196
MARITAL TENSION	13-item index of closed questions on marital problems concerning time spent with friends, how		HAPP 1.1	G!	22	Gt'	01	Males in the age of 25-49, 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A.	BRADB 65/1
	the house looks, household expenses, being tired, being away from home too much, disciplining children, in-laws, not showing love, work, how to spend leisure time, work around the house, religion, irritating personal habits, other	Index of Positive Affects: G¹ = +.04 (ns) Index of Negative Affects: G¹ = +.43 (01)	AFF 2.3	G'	_	Gt'		Probability multi-stage samples N: 393, date: March, 1962	p. 37
MARITAL TENSION	ll-item index of yes/no questions on differences in opinion or problems concerning time spent with friends, household expenses, being tired, being away from home too much, disciplining children, in-laws, not showing love, your (husband's) job, how to spend leisure time, religion, irritating personal habits	Stronger among females: G =32  Lower among males: G =16  Index of Positive Affects:  Stronger among males: G = +.10  Not among females: G = +.00  Index of Negative Affects:  Slightly stronger among females: G = +.43  Lower among males: G = +.37  Unaffected by S.E.S.  (to be continued on next page)	AFF 2.3	G	-			Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 164

ω
σ
2
ł

		Stronger among females : G =37 Lower among males : G =25	HAPP 1.1	G	-			
MARRIAGE COMPANIONSHIP	5-item index of yes/no questions, on shared activities in the past few weeks: spent an evening just chatting with each other, had a good laugh together or shared a joke, been affectionate toward each other, taken a drive or gone for	Lower among males : $G = +.17$ Stronger among females : $G = +.29$ Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{RR} = +.13$ (05) Stronger among females: $G = +.33$ Lower among males: $G = +.22$	AFF 2.3	G	+		Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. (See last page)	BRADB 69 p. 166
	a walk just for pleasure, did something that the other one particularly appreciated	Lower among males of high S.E.S. only: $\overline{DR} = +.04$ (ns)  Index of Negative Affects: Stronger among females: $G =12$ Lower among males: $G =03$	HAPP 1.1	G	+			
MARRIAGE SOCIABILITY	4—item index of yes/no questions on shared activities in the past few weeks: visited friends together; gone out together to a movie, bowling, sporting event or some other entertainment; entertained friends in your home; ate out in a restaurant together	Stronger among females: $G = +.28$ Unaffected by sex males: $G = +.12$ females: $G = +.16$ Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.11$ (05) Stronger among females: $G = +.25$ Lower among males: $G = +.16$ Stronger among females of high S.E.S. only: $\overline{DR} = +.20$ (05)  Index of Negative Affects males: $G =02$ females: $G = +.00$	AFF 2.3	G	+		See above	BRADB 69 p. 168
		Unaffected by sex males: G = +.14 females: G = +.18	HAPP 1.1	G	+			
'FREQUENCY OF GOING OUT EVENINGS WITH SPOUSE	2 or fewer evenings a month vs. more	33% of the very satisfied and satisfied and 40% of the relatively dissatisfied women go out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their husband.  Among the men 29% of the very satisfied, 37% of the satisfied and 39% of the relatively dissatisfied report going out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their wives.	HAPP 2.1	D%	+	S	Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 17
DESIRED INTERACTION WITH HUSBAND	3-item index of closed questions on would enjoy seeing him every day, would spend most of my free time with him if possible, would like to see him more often	Computed for married females only	HAPP 1.1	r	+.29	004	Females from the Seattle- Washington area, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 153, date: —	BRIM 74 p. 437
PERCEIVED ASSISTANCE FROM HUSBAND	3-item index of closed questions on would ask him for the loan of a sizable amount of money if I were in serious need, would ask him to risk personal danger to help me out of a tight spot, would ask him to pick me up at the airport late at night if there were no other means of transportation available	See above	HAPP 1.1	r	+.14	ns	See above	BRIM 74 p. 437
CONCERN FOR HUSBAND	Closed question: Try to always remember his birthday	See above	HAPP 1.1	r	+.07	ns	See above	BRIM 74 p. 437
VALUE SIMILARITY WITH HUSBAND	3-item index of closed questions on generally share the same philosophy of life with him, his ideals most nearly approach my ideals of 'the right way', have a great many interests in	See above	HAPP 1.1	r	+.42	002	See above	BRIM 74 p. 437

SATISFACTION WITH LOVE LIFE	Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satis- fied'	Lower for those of age 41-50 and 61-65 Stronger among those of low S.E.S. No relation among those of high educational level Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G	+.70		05	National adult population, The Netherlands Probability area sample N: 1552, date: June, 1968	BAKKE 74 p. 28
SATISFACTION WITH SEX LIFE	Closed question: 'How do you feel about' terrible / unhappy / mostly dissatisfied / mixed / mostly satisfied / pleased / delighted		HAPP 3.1 (1st instr.)	h <sup>2</sup>	.40			National adult population, U.S.A. Probability area sample (third sample) N: 1072, date: November, 1972	ANDRE 74 p. 19
M 2.5 - VARIOUS FACTO	RS CONCERNING MARRIAGE								
LEVEL OF ATTACHMENT TO MALE PARTNER	Closed question: recently terminated relation— ships / apparently not dating / won't marry present beau / will possibly marry present beau / will fairly certain marry present beau / engaged / married	Females who are certain of getting married, who are engaged, or who are married are generally happier than the females who are not (05).	AFF 2.1	DM	+	F <sup>+</sup>	ns	Female college seniors, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 162, date: May - June, 1966	PORTE 67 p. 58
AGE OF MARRIAGE	Under the age of 20 vs later	20% of the relatively dissatisfied women and 6% of the satisfied women married under the age of 20 (sign.). No relationship among men	HAPP 2.1	0%	•			Middle-aged, middle class married couples, U.S.A. Non-probability accidental sample of couples N: 416, date: 1952 - 1953	ROSE 55 p. 16
AGE OF MARRIAGE	Under the age of 30 vs later	12% of the dissatisfied and 7% of the satisfied women married at 30 years of age or later (ns) No relationship among men	HAPP 2.1	D%	-			See above	ROSE 55 p. 16
DISSATISFACTION WITH AGE OF MARRIAGE	Wish to have been a little older / younger wher got married	48% of the dissatisfied women and only 14% of the satisfied women wish to have got married when younger or older (sign.).  Among males 15% of the dissatisfied and 9% of the satisfied wish they had been a little older when they got married (sign.). No difference in the proportion of males saying they wish they had married at a younger age.	HAPP 2.1	0%	_			See above	ROSE 55 p. 16
-									

•